The aa-mode

# Inner models from extended logics

#### Joint work with Juliette Kennedy and Menachem Magidor

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki

ILLC, University of Amsterdam

#### Gdańsk, December 2023





 The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000



$$L_{0} = \emptyset$$

$$L_{\alpha+1} = \text{Def}(L_{\alpha})$$

$$L_{\nu} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \nu} L_{\alpha} \text{ for limit } \nu$$

$$L = \bigcup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}$$

<ロ><□><一</p>

The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Jensen

$$J_0 = \emptyset$$
  

$$J_{\alpha+\omega} = \operatorname{rud}(J_{\alpha} \cup \{J_{\alpha}\})$$
  

$$J_{\omega\nu} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \nu} J_{\omega\alpha} \text{ for limit } \nu$$
  

$$L = \bigcup_{\alpha} J_{\omega\alpha}$$

he cof-model

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Suppose $\mathcal{L}^*$ is a logic

$$L'_{0} = \emptyset$$

$$L'_{\alpha+1} = \text{Def}_{\mathcal{L}^{*}}(L'_{\alpha})$$

$$L'_{\nu} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \nu} L'_{\alpha} \text{ for limit } \nu$$

$$C(\mathcal{L}^{*}) = \bigcup_{\alpha} L'_{\alpha}$$

・ロト (部)、(音)、(音)、音)の(で 4/52

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

## A typical set in $L'_{\alpha+1}$ has the form

$$X = \{ a \in L'_{\alpha} : (L'_{\alpha}, \in) \models \varphi(a, \vec{b}) \},\$$

where  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{L}^*$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{L}'_{\alpha}$ .

The aa-mode

#### Theorem

For any  $\mathcal{L}^*$  the class  $C(\mathcal{L}^*)$  is a transitive model of ZF containing all the ordinals.

#### Proof.

As in the usual proof of ZF in *L*. Let us prove the Comprehension Schema as an example. Suppose  $A, \vec{b}$  are in  $C(\mathcal{L}^*), \varphi(x, \vec{y})$  is a first order formula of set theory and

$$X = \{ a \in A : C(\mathcal{L}^*) \models \varphi(a, \vec{b}) \}.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal such that  $A \in L'_{\alpha}$  and  $\varphi(x, y)$  is absolute for  $L'_{\alpha}, C(\mathcal{L}^*)$ . Now

$$X = \{ a \in L'_{\alpha} : L'_{\alpha} \models a \in A \land \varphi(a, \vec{b}) \}.$$

Hence  $X \in C(\mathcal{L}^*)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The aa-mode

#### HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

## Definition

A logic  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is *adequate to truth in itself* if for all finite vocabularies *K* there is function  $\varphi \mapsto \ulcorner \varphi \urcorner$  from all formulas  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathcal{L}^*$  in the vocabulary *K* into  $\omega$ , and a formula  $\operatorname{Sat}_{\mathcal{L}^*}(x, y, z)$  in  $\mathcal{L}^*$  such that:

- 1. The function  $\varphi \mapsto \ulcorner \varphi \urcorner$  is one to one and has a recursive range.
- For all admissible sets *M*, formulas φ of L\* in the vocabulary *K*, structures N ∈ M in the vocabulary *K*, and a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub> ∈ N the following conditions are equivalent:
   2.1 M ⊨ Sat<sub>L\*</sub>(N, ¬φ¬, ⟨a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub>⟩)
   2.2 N ⊨ φ(a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>n</sub>).

We may admit ordinal parameters in this definition.

The aa-model

#### Lemma

If  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is adequate to truth in itself, there are formulas  $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}^*}(x)$  and  $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}^*}(x, y)$  of  $\mathcal{L}^*$  in the vocabulary  $\{\in\}$  such that if M is an admissible set and  $\alpha = M \cap \text{On}$ , then:

- 1.  $\{a \in M : (M, \in) \models \Phi_{\mathcal{L}^*}(a)\} = L'_{\alpha} \cap M.$
- 2. { $(a,b) \in M \times M : (M, \in) \models \Psi_{\mathcal{L}^*}(a,b)$ } is a well-order  $<'_{\alpha}$  the field of which is  $L'_{\alpha} \cap M$ .

he cof-model

The aa-mode

#### HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Some history

- Chang in Mostowski's seminar in Warsaw 1967:  $\mathcal{L}^* = L_{\kappa\kappa}$ .
- Chang, PSPM 1971:  $\mathcal{L}^* = L_{\kappa\kappa}$ .
- Myhill-Scott, PSPM 1971:  $\mathcal{L}^* = L^2$ .
- Gloede, "Higher Set Theory" 1977:  $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}_{\kappa\lambda}$
- Kennedy-Magidor-V, JML 2021:  $\mathcal{L}^* = L(Q)$
- Welch, JSL 2022:  $\mathcal{L}^* = L(I)$
- Friedman-Gitman-Müller, APAL 2023.
- Ur Ya'ar, APAL 2024:  $\mathcal{L}^* = L(Q^1, ..., Q^n)$
- SQuaRE group in the AIM 2021-2024.

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000



he cof-model

The aa-model



The cof-model

The aa-model

イロト 不得 とくき とくき とうき

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

12/52

- $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_{\omega\omega}) = L$
- $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega})=\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$
- $C(\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1\omega_1}) = \text{Chang model}$
- $C(\mathcal{L}^2) = \mathrm{HOD}$

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Possible attributes of inner models

- Forcing absolute.
- Support large cardinals.
- Satisfy Axiom of Choice.
- Arise "naturally".
- Decide questions such as CH.

The aa-mode

- L: Forcing-absolute but no large cardinals (above WC)
- HOD: Has large cardinals but forcing-fragile
- $L(\mathbb{R})$ : Forcing-absolute, has large cardinals, but no AC
- Extender models: Tailor made to support given large cardinals

The aa-model

## Theorem (Essentially Gloede 1978)

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}^*$  (and its syntax) are ZFC-absolute with parameters from L. Then  $C(\mathcal{L}^*) = L$ .

Corollary  $C(\mathcal{L}(Q_{\alpha})) = L$  for all  $\alpha$ .

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Definition Magidor-Malitz quantifier of dimension *n*:

$$\mathcal{M} \models Q_{\alpha}^{\text{MM},n} x_1, ..., x_n \varphi(x_1, ..., x_n) \iff$$
$$\exists X \subseteq \mathcal{M}(|X| \ge \aleph_{\alpha} \land \forall a_1, ..., a_n \in X : \mathcal{M} \models \varphi(a_1, ..., a_n)).$$

Can express Souslinity of a tree.

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

## Consistently, $C(Q_1^{MM,2}) \neq L$ , but:

Theorem If  $0^{\sharp}$  exists, then  $C(Q_{\alpha}^{MM,<\omega}) = L$ .

#### Lemma

Suppose  $0^{\sharp}$  exists and  $A \in L$ ,  $A \subseteq [\alpha]^2$ . If there is (in V) an uncountable B such that  $[B]^2 \subseteq A$ , then there is such a set B in L.

 The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

The inner model  $C^*$ .

<ロ><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><□><0<○ 18/52

The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Definition

The cofinality quantifier  $Q_{\omega}^{cf}$  is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} \models Q^{\mathrm{cf}}_{\omega} xy\varphi(x, y, \vec{a}) \iff \{(c, d) : \mathcal{M} \models \varphi(c, d, \vec{a})\}$$
  
is a linear order of cofinality  $\omega$ .

- Axiomatizable
- Fully compact
- Downward Löwenheim-Skolem down to ℵ1

The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Definition

$$\mathcal{C}^* =_{\mathit{def}} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{cf}}_\omega)$$

Note:

$$\{\alpha < \beta : \mathrm{cf}^{V}(\alpha) = \omega\} \in \mathcal{C}^{*}$$

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

Theorem If  $0^{\sharp}$  exists, then  $0^{\sharp} \in C^*$ .

Proof. Let

 $X = \{\xi < leph_{\omega} : \xi ext{ is a regular cardinal in } L ext{ and } \mathrm{cf}(\xi) > \omega\}$ 

Now  $X \in C^*$  and

 $\mathbf{0}^{\sharp} = \{ \ulcorner \varphi(x_1, ..., x_n) \urcorner : L_{\aleph_{\omega}} \models \varphi(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n) \text{ for some } \gamma_1 < ... < \gamma_n \text{ in } X \}.$ 

Welch JSL 2022 proves the stronger result  $0^k \in C^*$ , where  $0^k$  is a sharp for a proper class of measurable cardinals.

- More generally,  $x^{\sharp} \in C^*$  for any  $x \in C^*$  such that  $x^{\sharp}$  exists.
- Hence  $C^* \neq L(x)$  whenever x is a set of ordinals such that  $x^{\sharp}$  exists in V.

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Theorem

The Dodd-Jensen Core model is contained in  $C^*$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose  $L^{\mu}$  exists. Then  $C^*$  contains some  $L^{\nu}$ .

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Theorem

#### If there is a measurable cardinal $\kappa$ , then $V \neq C^*$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose  $V = C^*$  but  $\kappa$  is a measurable cardinal. Let  $i : V \to M$  with critical point  $\kappa$  and  $M^{\kappa} \subseteq M$ . Now  $(C^*)^M = (C^*)^V = V$ , whence M = V. This contradicts Kunen's result that there cannot be a non-trivial  $i : V \to V$ .

The aa-model

#### Theorem

If *E* is an infinite set of measurable cardinals (in V), then  $E \notin C^*$ . Moreover, then  $C^* \neq HOD$ .

#### Proof.

As Kunen's result that if there are uncountably many measurable cardinals, then AC is false in the Chang model.

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Stationary Tower Forcing

Suppose  $\lambda$  is Woodin.

- There is a forcing  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that in V[G] there is  $j: V \to M$  with  $V[G] \models M^{\omega} \subseteq M$  and  $j(\omega_1) = \lambda$ .
- For all regular  $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$  there is a cofinality  $\omega$  preserving forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  such that in V[G] there is  $j : V \to M$  with  $V[G] \models M^{\omega} \subseteq M$  and  $j(\kappa) = \lambda$ .

The aa-mode

#### Theorem

If there is a Woodin cardinal, then  $\omega_1$  is (strongly) Mahlo in  $C^*$ .

#### Proof.

To prove that  $\omega_1$  is strongly inaccessible in  $C^*$  suppose  $\alpha < \aleph_1$  and  $f : \omega_1 \to (2^{\alpha})^{C^*}$  is one-one. Let  $\mathbb{Q}$ , G and  $j : V \to M$  with  $M^{\omega} \subset M$  and  $j(\omega_1) = \lambda$  (= Woodin) be as above. Thus  $j(f) : \lambda \to ((2^{\alpha})^{C^*})^M$ . Let  $a = j(f)(\omega_1^V)$ . If  $a \in V$ , then j(a) = a, whence  $a = f(\delta)$  for some  $\delta < \omega_1$ . Then  $a = j(a) = j(f)(j(\delta)) = j(f)(\delta)$  contradicting the fact that  $a = j(f)(\omega_1)$ . Hence  $a \notin V$ . Now,  $(C^*)^M = C^*_{<\lambda} \subseteq V$ . Hence  $a \in C^*_{<\lambda} \subseteq V$ , a contradiction.

The cof-model

The aa-model

#### Theorem

Suppose there is a Woodin cardinal  $\lambda$ . Then every regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$  is weakly compact in  $C^*$ .

#### Proof.

Suppose  $\lambda$  is a Woodin cardinal,  $\kappa > \omega_1$  is regular and  $< \lambda$ . To prove that  $\kappa$  is strongly inaccessible in  $C^*$  we can use the "second" stationary tower forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  above. With this forcing, cofinality  $\omega$  is not changed, whence  $(C^*)^M = C^*$ .

The cof-model

The aa-model

#### Theorem

If there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals, then the regular cardinals  $\geq \aleph_2$  are indiscernible in  $C^*$ .

#### Proof.

We use the "second" stationary tower forcing  $\mathbb{P}$  to show first that the Woodin cardinals are indiscernible, and after that the regular cardinals  $\geq \aleph_2$  are indiscernible. Remember that here  $\mathbb{P}$  and *j* preserve  $C^*$ .

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

э

30/52

#### Theorem If $V = L^{\mu}$ , then $C^*$ is the inner model $M_{\omega^2}[E]$ , where $E = \{\kappa_{\omega \cdot n} : n < \omega\}.$

The cof-model

The aa-model

#### Theorem

Suppose there is a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Suppose  $\mathcal{P}$  is a forcing notion and  $G \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  is generic. Then

$$Th((C^*)^V) = Th((C^*)^{V[G]}).$$

Moreover, the theory  $Th(C^*)$  is independent of the cofinality used, and forcing does not change the reals of these models.

The cof-model

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Proof. Let $H_1$ be generic for $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now

$$j_1: (C^*)^V \to (C^*)^{M_1} = (C^*)^{V[H_1]} = (C^*_{<\lambda})^V.$$

Let  $H_2$  be generic for  $\mathbb{Q}$  over V[G]. Then

$$j_2: ({\mathcal{C}}^*)^{V[G]} o ({\mathcal{C}}^*)^{M_2} = ({\mathcal{C}}^*)^{V[H_2]} = ({\mathcal{C}}^*_{<\lambda})^{V[G]} = ({\mathcal{C}}^*_{<\lambda})^V.$$

The cof-model

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Theorem $|\mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^*| \leq \aleph_2.$

<ロト<団ト<三ト<三ト<三ト<三ト 33/52

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Theorem

If there are three Woodin cardinals and a measurable cardinal above them, then there is a cone of reals x such that  $C^*(x)$  satisfies the Continuum Hypothesis.

The aa-mode

If two reals x and y are Turing-equivalent, then  $C^*(x) = C^*(y)$ . Hence the set

$$\{ y \subseteq \omega : C^*(y) \models CH \}$$
(1)

is closed under Turing-equivalence. Need to show that

(I) The set (1) is projective.

(II) For every real x there is a real y such that  $x \leq_T y$  and y is in the set (1).

The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Lemma

Suppose there is a Woodin cardinal and a measurable cardinal above it. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $C^*(y) \models CH$ .

(ii) There is a countable iterable structure M with a Woodin cardinal such that y ∈ M,
 M ⊨ ∃α("L'<sub>α</sub>(y) ⊨ CH") and for all countable iterable structures N with a Woodin cardinal such that y ∈ N: P(ω)<sup>(C\*)<sup>N</sup></sup> ⊆ P(ω)<sup>(C\*)<sup>M</sup></sup>.

# Consistency results about C\*

Suppose V = L. Let us add a Cohen real r. We can code this real with a modified Namba forcing so that in the end for all  $n < \omega$ :  $cf^{V}(\aleph_{n+2}^{L}) = \omega \iff n \in r$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose V = L and  $\kappa$  is a cardinal of cofinality  $> \omega$ . There is a forcing notion  $\mathbb{P}$  which forces  $C^* \models 2^{\omega} = \kappa$  and preserves cardinals between L and  $C^*$ .

#### Theorem

It is consistent, relative to the consistency of an inaccessible cardinal, that  $V = C^*$  and  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ .

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### The inner model C(aa).

<ロト<団ト<三ト<三ト<三ト<三ト 38/52

The cof-model

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Definition

 $\mathcal{M} \models \operatorname{aa} s\varphi(s) \iff \{A \in [M]^{\leq \omega} : (\mathcal{M}, A) \models \varphi(s)\}$  contains a club of countable subsets of M. (i.e. almost all countable subsets A of M satisfy  $\varphi(A)$ .) We denote  $\neg \operatorname{aa} s \neg \varphi$  by stat  $s\varphi$ .

$$C(aa) =_{def} C(\mathcal{L}(aa))$$

 $C^* \subseteq C(aa)$ 

<ロ>

<ロ>

<10>

<10>

<10>

<10>

<10>

<10>

<10>

<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

The aa-model

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is a logic the sentences of which are (coded by) natural numbers. We define the hierarchy  $(J'_{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{Lim}$  as follows:

$$\operatorname{Tr} = \{(\alpha, \varphi(\bar{\alpha})) : (J'_{\alpha}, \in, \operatorname{Tr} \upharpoonright \alpha) \models \varphi(\bar{\alpha}), \varphi(\bar{x}) \in \mathcal{L}^*, \bar{\alpha} \in J'_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \operatorname{Lim}\},\$$
where

$$\operatorname{Tr} \upharpoonright \alpha = \{ (\beta, \psi(\bar{\alpha})) \in \operatorname{Tr} : \beta \in \alpha \cap \operatorname{Lim} \},$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} J'_0 &=& \emptyset \\ J'_{\alpha+\omega} &=& \mathsf{rud}_{\mathrm{Tr}}(J'_{\alpha} \cup \{J'_{\alpha}\}) \\ J'_{\omega\nu} &=& \bigcup_{\alpha < \nu} J'_{\omega\alpha}, \text{ for } \nu \in \mathrm{Lim} \,. \end{array}$$

The aa-model

# Definition

1. A first order structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is *club-determined* if

$$\mathcal{M} \models \forall \vec{s} \forall \vec{x} [ aa \vec{t} \varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{s}, \vec{t}) \lor aa \vec{t} \neg \varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{s}, \vec{t}) ],$$

where  $\varphi(\vec{x}, \vec{s}, \vec{t})$  is any formula in  $\mathcal{L}(aa)$ .

2. We say that the inner model C(aa) is *club-determined* if every level  $L'_{\alpha}$  is.

The aa-model

#### Theorem

If there are a proper class of Woodin cardinals or PFA holds, then C(aa) is club-determined.

#### Proof.

Suppose  $L'_{\alpha}$  is the least counter-example. W.I.o.g  $\alpha < \omega_2^V$ . Let  $\delta$  be Woodin. The hierarchies

$$C(aa)^M, C(aa)^{V[G]}, C(aa_{<\delta})^V$$

are all the same and the (potential) failure of club-determinateness occurs in all at the same level.

The cof-model

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Some ingredients

#### Lemma

If  $\delta$  is Woodin,  $S \subseteq \delta$  is in M and M thinks that S is stationary, then V[G] thinks that S is stationary.

#### Lemma

Suppose C(aa) is club-determined,  $\delta$  is Woodin,  $\mathbb{P}$  is the countable stationary tower,  $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is generic and M is the associated generic ultrapower. Then  $C(aa)^M = C(aa_{<\delta})^V$ .

The aa-model

#### Theorem

Suppose there are a proper class of Woodin cardinals. Then the theory of C(aa) is (set) forcing absolute.

#### Proof.

Suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  is a forcing notion and  $\delta$  is a Woodin cardinal  $> |\mathbb{P}|$ . Let  $j: V \to M$  be the associated elementary embedding. Now

$$C(aa) \equiv (C(aa))^M = (C(aa_{<\delta}))^V.$$

On the other hand, let  $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  be generic over *V*. Then  $\delta$  is still Woodin, so we have the associated elementary embedding  $j' : V[H] \rightarrow M'$ . Again

$$(C(aa))^{V[H]} \equiv (C(aa))^{M'} = (C(aa_{<\delta}))^{V[H]}.$$

Finally, we may observe that  $(C(aa_{<\delta}))^{V[H]} = (C(aa_{<\delta}))^{V}$ . Hence

$$(C(aa))^{V[H]} \equiv (C(aa))^V$$
.  $\Box$ 

The aa-model

Theorem Suppose there are a proper class of Woodin cardinals or PFA holds. Then every regular  $\kappa \geq \aleph_1$  is measurable in C(aa).

#### Proof.

For  $\alpha$  big enough for  $L'_{\alpha}$  to contain all subsets of  $\kappa$  in C(aa), consider the normal filter:

 $\mathcal{F} = \{ X \subseteq \kappa : X \in L'_{\alpha}, L'_{\alpha} \models aas(sup(s \cap \kappa) \in X) \}.$ 

Suppose  $X \subseteq \kappa$  is in *C*(*aa*). Since  $L'_{\alpha}$  is club determined,

 $L'_{lpha}\models \mathit{aas}(\mathsf{sup}(s\cap\kappa)\in X)$  or

 $L'_{\alpha} \models aas(sup(s \cap \kappa) \notin X).$ 

In the first case  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ . In the second case  $\kappa \setminus X \in \mathcal{F}$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose there is a supercompact cardinal. Then every regular  $\kappa \geq \aleph_1$  is measurable in C(aa).

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Theorem If Club Determinacy holds, then C(aa) satisfies CH.

The proof is based on the concept of an aa-premouse.

The aa-model

HOD<sub>1</sub> •00000

#### The inner model $HOD_1$ .

<ロ > < 団 > < 団 > < 亘 > < 亘 > < 亘 > の < で 47/52

he cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> ○●○○○○○

Recall:

HOD =  $C(L^2)$ .

Let

HOD<sub>1</sub> =<sub>df</sub>  $C(\Sigma_1^1)$ .

#### Note:

• 
$$\{\alpha < \beta : \mathrm{cf}^{V}(\alpha) = \omega\} \in \mathrm{HOD}_{1}$$

• {
$$(\alpha,\beta) \in \gamma^2 : |\alpha|^V \le |\beta|^V$$
}  $\in \text{HOD}_1$ 

•  $\{\alpha < \beta : \alpha \text{ cardinal in } V\} \in HOD_1$ 

• {
$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \beta^2 : |\alpha_0|^V \le (2^{|\alpha_1|})^V$$
}  $\in \text{HOD}_1$ 

• {
$$\alpha < \beta : (2^{|\alpha|})^V = (|\alpha|^+)^V$$
}  $\in HOD_1$ 

The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

#### Lemma

- 1.  $C^* \subseteq HOD_1$ .
- **2.**  $C(Q_1^{MM,<\omega}) \subseteq HOD_1$
- **3**.  $C(I) \subseteq HOD_1$ .
- 4. If  $0^{\sharp}$  exists, then  $0^{\sharp} \in \mathrm{HOD}_1$

The aa-model

#### Theorem

It is consistent, relative to the consistency of infinitely many weakly compact cardinals that for some  $\lambda$ :

 $\{\kappa < \lambda : \kappa \text{ weakly compact (in V)}\} \notin HOD_1,$ 

and, moreover,  $HOD_1 = L \neq HOD$ .

he cof-model

The aa-mode

#### HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Further work

- Further work has focused on closer investigation of the relationship between  $C^*$  and C(aa), on inner models of C(aa) with large cardinals, on GCH in these inner models, and on further extensions of C(aa).
- Goldberg, Kennedy, Larson, Magidor, Rajala, Schindler, Steel, Väänänen, Welch, Wilson, Ya'ar.
- The reals of C(aa) are in  $M_1$  (Magidor-Schindler).

The cof-model

The aa-mode

HOD<sub>1</sub> 000000

# Thank you!